

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/830,003	04/23/2004	. Ingrid Schemmel	P24945 7650		
7055 GREENBLUM	7590 11/26/2007 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLANI	CLARKE PLACE	ZHU, W	ZHU, WEIPING		
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/26/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/830,003	SCHEMMEL ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Weiping Zhu	1793

		Weiping Zhu	1793	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED 07 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
this pla a F	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on s application, applicant must timely file one of the follow aces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance the periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) 🗌	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have bee under 37 set forth i may redu	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. Th	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) (b) (c) (d)	They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bef appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.1	nsideration and/or search (see NOw); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying i	the issues for
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	-		
6. 🔲 N	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
ho Th Cla Cla Cla	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to: aim(s) rejected: aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
be	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and is not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
en sh	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to cowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	intry is below or attach	ned.
11. 🛛 T	he request for reconsideration has been considered but f the reasons stated in the final rejection.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	lote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	Other: See Continuation Sheet.			

Continuation of 13. Other: The applicant's arguments filed on November 7, 2007 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's arguments that the total weight percentage of W and Mo of JP ('747) is required to satisfy (2Mo + W) = 15-30%, while the highest value of (2Mo +W) for the instant invention is 11%, therefore JHP ('747) teaches away from the instant invention, The examiner notes that the purpose of the limitation of the total weight percentage of W and Mo of JP ('747) is to ensure desired amount of carbide to be formed in the tool steel of JP ('747) for the desired properties of the tool steel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the lower (2Mo + W) percemtage of the instant invention would lead to a lower amount of carbide in the steel. It is well held that mere disclosure of of alternative designs does not teach away. See In re Fulton, 391 F. 3d 1195, 1201, 73 USPQ2d 1141, 1146 (Fed. Cir. 2004). Furthermore, it is well held that discovering an optimum value of a result-effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617, F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). In the instant case, the amount of carbide in the tool steel is a result-effective variable, because it would directly affect the final properties of the steel as disclosed by JP ('747) (paragraph [0009], machine translation). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have optimized the carbide amount in the tool steel for the desired properties of the tool steel. See MPEP 2144.05 II.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Weiping Zhu whose telephone number is 571-272-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-16:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000...

ROY KING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION

TECHNICLOGY CENTER 1700